

# GB-B Aufsichtsrechtliche\_Berichterstattung

Version 01/2023

---

## Metadata

PDF language

German

---

## Base data

Authorised institution/group, domicile

Supervisory category

Audit firm

Audit year

2023

Lead auditor

Please select

- Single-entity level only
- Single-entity and group level (parent company structure)
- Group level only (holding structure/atypical structure)

Recipients of the report

## 1 General conditions of the audit

---

This section lists the framework conditions for the audit in accordance with margin no. 64 of FINMA Circular 13/3.

Reporting period from ...

Reporting period up to ...

General conditions of the audits / audit execution

Audit scope/mandate, general audit procedure

Confirmation that no particular difficulties were encountered during the conduct of the audit.

Yes  No

e.g. absence of parties responsible for making decisions

Explanation

Confirmation that the supervised entity has provided all necessary information in a timely manner and in the required quality.

Yes  No

incl. its internal audit department

Explanation

Confirmation that the audit was conducted in accordance with the audit strategy approved by the supervisory authority.

Yes  
 No  
 n.a.

Deviations from the approved audit strategy must be justified.

Explanation

Confirmation that the work was carried out in accordance with the standard audit programmes specified by FINMA.

Yes  
 No  
 n.a.

Explanation

**Period of the audit procedures**

Planning from...	Planning until...	Execution of basic audit from...	Execution of basic audit up to... ..
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Additional audit(s)

Yes  No

Execution of additional audit from...	Execution of additional audit up to... ..	Designation of additional audit
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Preparation of reporting from...	Preparation of reporting up to...
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	

**A list of the key people involved in the audit (persons with management and coordination roles as well as specialists involved in the areas of IT, taxes, valuation, etc.), including the hierarchy or function level (e.g. partners, managers, etc.).**

Function	Surname / Given name	Level
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Designation *		Designation **
<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>		

Function	Surname / Given name	Level
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Designation *		Designation **
<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>		

<input type="text"/>
----------------------

**Information on the use of the work of Internal Audit, of another auditor (including Group companies), of an expert, or of other third parties.**

Have any works by third parties been used?

Yes  No

Surname / Given name	Function	Designation ***
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Audit field		
<input type="text"/>		

Description of additional audit

Content / scope / result

Assessment by the audit firm

Surname / Given name	Function	Designation ***
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Audit field

Description of additional audit

Content / scope / result

Assessment by the audit firm

## 2 Independence of the audit firm

---

We confirm that we complied with the independence provisions of the Swiss Code of Obligations, the Auditor Oversight Act and the Auditor Oversight Ordinance, the Financial Market Auditing Ordinance and the supplementary provisions on supervision audits with reference to the reporting year.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Reasons in case of "no" or "n.a.":

### 3 Other mandates of the audit firm with the supervised entity

The audit firm enumerates any other mandates with the supervised entity and with group companies that are part of the consolidated supervision.

In the period relevant to the report, we provided additional services for the audited institution and any group companies that are part of the consolidated supervision.

Yes  No

Other services provided	
<input type="text"/>	
Designation	
<input type="text"/>	
Activity/mandate	Date of report / financial statements
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	
Other services provided	
<input type="text"/>	
Designation	
<input type="text"/>	
Activity/mandate	Date of report / financial statements
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	

## 4 Summary of audit results / irregularities and recommendations

The audit firm explains all irregularities and recommendations of the reporting year and of the preceding audit period, in each case with a deadline and measures to be taken or that have been taken, as well as the status of implementation or results of the subsequent audit with regard to restoring compliance with regulatory law (only those irregularities or recommendations shall be addressed for which the audit firm had planned its own audit procedures in accordance with the audit strategy). Irregularities are not deemed to have been settled until the subsequent audits have been completed.

Irregularities and recommendations arising from any additional audits or from the AMLA survey form must also be mentioned below. If the findings in these separate documents are explained in sufficient detail, the audit firm may, when mentioning them in para. 4.1 or 4.2, limit itself to a brief summary and a reference to the corresponding section of the separate report.

The irregularities and recommendations must be classified in accordance with no. 75.2ff FINMA Circular 13/3 "Auditing".

--

### 4.1 Irregularities

#### 4.1.1 Irregularities: Single entity level

In accordance with our audit strategy, in our audit procedures we have found irregularities for the reporting period.

Yes  No

Number	Designation	
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Audit area / audit field		
<input type="text"/>		
Description of additional audit		
<input type="text"/>		
classification	status	Deadline
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input type="text"/>
Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.		
<input type="text"/>		
The supervised entity accepts the irregularity		Recurring irregularity
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Statement from institution		
<input type="text"/>		
<input type="text"/>		
Number	Designation	
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

Audit area / audit field		
Description of additional audit		
classification	status	Deadline
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	
Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.		
The supervised entity accepts the irregularity		Recurring irregularity
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Statement from institution		

Number of irregularities in reporting period "high"	Number of irregularities in reporting period "medium"	Number of irregularities in reporting period "low"

#### 4.1.2 Irregularities: Consolidated supervision

In accordance with our audit strategy, in our audit procedures we have found irregularities for the reporting period.  
 Yes  No

	Number	Designation	
Audit area / audit field			
Description of additional audit			
classification	status	Deadline	
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue		
Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.			
The supervised entity accepts the irregularity		Recurring irregularity	
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	



Statement from institution

  


Number	Designation
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field

Description of additional audit

classification	status	Deadline
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input type="text"/>

Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.

The supervised entity accepts the irregularity <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Recurring irregularity <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

Statement from institution

  


Number of irregularities in reporting period "high"	Number of irregularities in reporting period "medium"	Number of irregularities in reporting period "low"
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

## 4.2 Recommendations

### 4.2.1 Recommendations: Single entity level

In accordance with our audit strategy, in our audit procedures we have found recommendations for the reporting period.

Yes  No

No.	Designation
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field

Description of additional audit

classification <input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	status <input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	Deadline <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
---	---	---

Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.

The supervised entity accepts the recommendation <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Recurring recommendation <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

Statement from institution



No.	Designation
<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field

Description of additional audit

classification <input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	status <input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	Deadline <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
---	---	---

Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.

The supervised entity accepts the recommendation <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Recurring recommendation <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

Statement from institution



Number of recommendations in reporting period "high" <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	Number of recommendations in reporting period "medium" <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	Number of recommendations in reporting period "low" <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
---	---	--

#### 4.2.2 Recommendations: Consolidated supervision

In accordance with our audit strategy, in our audit procedures we have found recommendations for the reporting period.

Yes  No

No.	Designation	
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	
Audit area / audit field		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Description of additional audit		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
classification	status	Deadline
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
The supervised entity accepts the recommendation		Recurring recommendation
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Statement from institution		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		

---

No.	Designation	
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	
Audit area / audit field		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
Description of additional audit		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
classification	status	Deadline
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, etc.		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
The supervised entity accepts the recommendation		Recurring recommendation
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Statement from institution		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>		

Number of recommendations in reporting period "high"

Number of recommendations in reporting period "medium"

Number of recommendations in reporting period "low"





### 4.3 Irregularities mentioned in the last audit report

#### 4.3.1 Irregularities mentioned in the last audit report: Single entity level

Irregularities were pointed out in the last audit report.

Yes  No

mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation	
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Audit area / audit field			
<input type="text"/>			
Description of additional audit			
<input type="text"/>			
classification	status	current deadline	The original deadline has been extended
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines			
<input type="text"/>			
<input type="text"/>			

mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation	
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Audit area / audit field			
<input type="text"/>			
Description of additional audit			
<input type="text"/>			
classification	status	current deadline	The original deadline has been extended
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines


Number of irregularities in last audit report "high"

Number of irregularities in last audit report "medium"

Number of irregularities in last audit report "low"




of which: not completed

of which: not completed

of which: not completed




### 4.3.2 Irregularities mentioned in the last audit report: Consolidated supervision

Irregularities were pointed out in the last audit report.

Yes  No

mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field

Description of additional audit

classification

status

current deadline

The original deadline has been extended

- high
- medium
- low

- implemented/completed
- pending/partially implemented
- overdue

Yes  No

Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines


mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field

Description of additional audit

classification	status	current deadline	The original deadline has been extended
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines			
<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			
<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			

Number of irregularities in last audit report "high"	Number of irregularities in last audit report "medium"	Number of irregularities in last audit report "low"
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
of which: not completed	of which: not completed	of which: not completed
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>

#### 4.4 Recommendations mentioned in the last audit report

##### 4.4.1 Recommendations mentioned in the last audit report: Single entity level

Recommendations were made in the last audit report.

Yes  No

mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field

Description of additional audit

classification	status	current deadline	The original deadline has been extended
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines			
<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			
<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			

mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field			
Description of additional audit			
classification	status	current deadline	The original deadline has been extended
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines			

Number of recommendations in last audit report "high"	Number of recommendations in last audit report "medium"	Number of recommendations in last audit report "low"
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>

#### 4.4.2 Recommendations mentioned in the last audit report: Consolidated supervision

Recommendations were made in the last audit report.

Yes  No

mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field			
Description of additional audit			
classification	status	current deadline	The original deadline has been extended
<input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed <input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented <input type="radio"/> overdue	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines			

mentioned for the first time in the reporting year	No.	Designation
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>

Audit area / audit field

Description of additional audit

classification	status	current deadline	The original deadline has been extended <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<input type="radio"/> high	<input type="radio"/> implemented/completed	<input type="text"/>	
<input type="radio"/> medium	<input type="radio"/> pending/partially implemented		
<input type="radio"/> low	<input type="radio"/> overdue		

Description, including reason for non-implementation, measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, results of the subsequent audit, reason for any extensions of deadlines

Number of recommendations in last audit report "high"	Number of recommendations in last audit report "medium"	Number of recommendations in last audit report "low"
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

#### 4.5 Confirmation of FINMA recommendations and rulings

For the reporting period there are recommendations and/or rulings of FINMA

Yes  No

Designation/subject <input type="text"/>	audit depth applied <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input type="radio"/> n.a.
---	---

Source/Document, date from <input type="text"/>	Were these adhered to? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> n.a.
--	---

Audit area / audit field

Description

Designation/subject <input type="text"/>	audit depth applied <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input type="radio"/> n.a.
---	---



Source/Document, date from	Were these adhered to?
<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> n.a.
Audit area / audit field	
<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	
Description	
<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	
<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	
<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	

## 4.6 Summary of further audit findings

### 4.6.1 Audit report from the prior period

Confirmation that the board of directors or the audit committee has discussed the most recent audit report with the lead auditor.

Yes  No

Reasons


### 4.6.2 Material weaknesses from other mandates / services

Under this heading, the audit firm addresses any material weaknesses identified in the course of further own mandates (see Chapter 3) and not in connection with audit procedures in accordance with the audit strategy (including a brief assessment of the weaknesses and any impact on the risk assessment).

There are material weaknesses identified in the other mandates under Chapter 3.

Yes  No

Number	Mandate/service
<input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>
	Description mandate/service
	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>
	Designation of weakness
	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>
	short description/measures taken by the institution
	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>
	Assessment by the audit firm, including any effects on risk assessment
	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>

status	Deadline

Number	Mandate/service
Description mandate/service	
Designation of weakness	

short description/measures taken by the institution

Assessment by the audit firm, including any effects on risk assessment

status	Deadline

#### 4.6.3 Material weaknesses raised by third parties

Under this heading, the audit firm addresses any material weaknesses raised by third parties (including Internal Audit) which had not been adopted by the audit firm in the context of its own audit procedures as irregularities or recommendations in accordance with the audit areas in the audit strategy (including a brief assessment of the weaknesses and any effects on risk assessment).

Material weaknesses identified by third parties exist for the reporting period.

Yes  No

No.	Third party	Designation of weakness

short description/measures taken by the institution

The weakness has an impact on the risk assessment by the audit firm.

Yes  No

Assessment by the audit firm, including any explanations of the effects on risk assessment.

status	Deadline

No.	Third party	Designation of weakness
short description/measures taken by the institution		
The weakness has an impact on the risk assessment by the audit firm.		
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		
Assessment by the audit firm, including any explanations of the effects on risk assessment.		
status		Deadline

## 4.7 Overall assessment of the audit firm

### 4.7.1 Guarantee of proper business conduct

Confirmation that no findings were made in the course of the audit procedures which would call into question the assurance of proper business conduct by the governing bodies and by parties holding a qualified participation.

Yes  No

Designation/subject

Description, incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation.

Are FINMA measures necessary?

Yes  
 No

### 4.7.2 Licensing requirements

Confirmation that the audit procedures did not reveal any facts that would call into question the licensing requirements.

Yes  No

Designation/subject

Description of any incidents that might affect them (incl. measures to be taken or that have been taken, status of implementation, etc.).

Are FINMA measures necessary?

Yes  
 No



## 5 Important information on the audited institution / presentation of significant changes

---

### 5.1 Business conduct and customer structure

The audit firm briefly explains the business area(s) of the supervised entity or the group, as well as the client segments and markets addressed, and any changes in these during the reporting year.

### 5.2 Group structure and ownership structure / relationships with other companies

The audit firm briefly explains the structure of the group, the parties holding a qualified participation and significant relationships and dependencies with other companies or stakeholders (economically significant contracts, intra-group cooperation, etc.). Changes in this regard are addressed and, where applicable, their impact on the consolidated supervision assessed.

### 5.3 Operational and organisational structure

The audit firm briefly explains the general organisation and any corresponding changes.

### 5.4 Significant changes in the supervised entity

The audit firm presents significant changes in the supervised entity, in particular with respect to ownership, governing bodies, business model, relationships with other entities and strategic direction. If the changes have already been explained in sufficient detail elsewhere in this report, the audit firm may limit itself in the following to mentioning the change and providing a reference to the corresponding item.

In the reporting year, there were or will be significant changes in the supervised entity (e.g. changes in parties holding a qualified participation, governing bodies, relationships with other companies / persons, mergers, reorganisations, restructurings or delegations).

Yes  No

Were the organisational and competence regulations adequately adapted to changing business strategies and structures in good time?

Yes  
 No  
 n.a.

Has the institution adequately assessed any impact on the regulatory group of consolidated entities?

Yes  
 No  
 n.a.

Explanation

### 5.5 Future challenges

The audit firm briefly explains any future challenges for the supervised entity (institution-specific) - if not already listed in Chapters 4 or 5.

## 6 Audit results

---

In audit areas with intervention, the audit firm assesses compliance with the corresponding audit confirmations with "yes" or "no". The specified audit confirmations are to be applied for both audit depths (audit or critical assessment). If the "critical assessment" audit depth is applied, the confirmations are to be understood as negative confirmations irrespective of the wording.

If an irregularity is designated as "high" (margin no. 75.3) or "medium" (margin no. 75.4), the corresponding audit confirmation in accordance with margin no. 69 of FINMA Circular 13/3 must always be answered with "No". In the other cases, the audit confirmations are answered with "Yes". Where individual audit areas, fields or points are not applicable ("n.a."), this is explained by the audit firm.

In the report, the audit firm lists the main audit procedures to which the audit confirmations relate.

### 6.1 Capital / solvency

---

#### 6.1.1 Non-model-based capital adequacy requirements

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the supervised entity had the required minimum capital.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
<p>Confirmation that the determination and calculation of eligible capital were carried out correctly.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>		<p>Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the capital requirements (including those based on the leverage ratio) were carried out correctly.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
<p>Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>		<p>Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

#### 6.1.2 Capital adequacy requirements from and authorisation requirements for internal models approved by FINMA

Net risk RWA model approaches covered during the last three interventions preceding the reporting year:

very high  
 high  
 medium  
 low  
 n.a.

Internal ratings-based approach (IRB)  
 Counterparty credit risks (CCR)  
 Advanced measurement approach (AMA)  
 Internal models approach for market risk (IMA)

RWA model approaches covered	Last three interventions preceding the reporting year (RY-3, RY-2, RY-1)			Reporting year (RY)
	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
Internal ratings-based approach (IRB)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Counterparty credit risks (CCR)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Advanced measurement approach (AMA)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Internal models approach for market risk (IMA)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

IRB: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IRB: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IRB: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

CCR: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

CCR: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

CCR: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

AMA: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

AMA: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

AMA: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IMA: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IMA: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IMA: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.1.3 Capital buffer and planning

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the capital target and intervention level with regard to FINMA categorisation – taking into account any other individual requirements – were complied with in the planning data.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.



Confirmation that the capital planning requirements have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.1.4 Coverage of privileged deposits

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the supervised entity has complied with the requirements concerning privileged deposits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.2 Business risks / risk management

#### 6.2.1 Credit risks from the interbank business

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor credit risk were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.2.2 Credit risks from mortgage transactions

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor credit risk were appropriate and, in the case of “audit” depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.2.3 Credit risks from the commercial lending business

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor credit risk were appropriate and, in the case of “audit” depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":


#### 6.2.4 Credit risks from the lombard lending business

Net risk	Reporting year of intervention	Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor credit risk were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.
<input type="radio"/> very high	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> Yes
<input type="radio"/> high	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> No
<input type="radio"/> medium	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> n.a.
<input type="radio"/> low		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.		

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

--

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":


#### 6.2.5 Other credit risks

Net risk	Reporting year of intervention	Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor credit risk were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.
<input type="radio"/> very high	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> Yes
<input type="radio"/> high	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> No
<input type="radio"/> medium	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> n.a.
<input type="radio"/> low		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.		

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

--

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.2.6 Market risks from interest rate risks (banking book)

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor market risks were appropriate.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.2.7 Market risks from foreign exchange risk

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor market risks were appropriate.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



### 6.2.8 Other market risks

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor market risks were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



### 6.2.9 Compliance with rules of conduct with respect to customers in connection with execution-only investments

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the operational organisation was adequate to manage, control and report the risks.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal processes for compliance with the rules of conduct with respect to customers were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the training measures were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.2.10 Compliance with rules of conduct with respect to customers in connection with investment advice

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the operational organisation was adequate to manage, control and report the risks.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal processes for compliance with the rules of conduct with respect to customers were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the training measures were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.2.11 Compliance with rules of conduct with respect to customers in connection with asset management mandates

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the operational organisation was adequate to manage, control and report the risks.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal processes for compliance with the rules of conduct with respect to customers were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the training measures were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.2.12 Compliance with rules of conduct with respect to customers in connection with fiduciary investments

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the operational organisation was adequate to manage, control and report the risks.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal processes for compliance with the rules of conduct with respect to customers were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the training measures were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



**6.2.13 Compliance with rules of conduct with respect to customers in connection with brokerage and custody services**

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the operational organisation was adequate to manage, control and report the risks.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal processes for compliance with the rules of conduct with respect to customers were appropriate and, in the case of "audit" depth, have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the training measures were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



**6.2.14 Compliance with market conduct rules**

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the internal rules and methods /processes used to identify, measure, manage and control risks in market conduct were adequate and applied effectively where the audit depth was “audit”.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that the methods/processes used to fulfil the record keeping and reporting duties were adequate and applied effectively where the audit depth was “audit”.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.2.15 Compliance with obligations when operating an organised trading system

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that appropriate organisational measures have been taken to ensure orderly trading in the operation of organised trading systems and that effective precautions have been taken to avoid disruptions to the trading system.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that the operator of organised trading systems has comprehensively protected the interests of its clients and has taken appropriate measures to avoid conflicts of interest.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the organised trading system was operated separately from the other business activities and that appropriate measures have been taken to avoid conflicts of interest.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the operator of organised trading systems has fulfilled the requirements for trade transparency.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.2.16 Compliance with obligations in connection with derivative transactions



Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the internal instructions and methods / processes relating to settlement via a central counterparty were appropriate and were effectively applied in the case of “audit” depth.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal instructions and methods / processes relating to the reporting obligations to a trade repository were appropriate and effectively applied in the case of “audit” depth.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal instructions and methods / processes for risk mitigation obligations were appropriate and that they were effectively applied in the case of “audit” depth.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal instructions and methods / processes regarding trading obligations via trading venues and organised trading systems were appropriate and were effectively applied in the case of “audit” depth.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:



### 6.2.17 Risks from non lending and non deposit transactions: payment transactions

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the internal instructions and methods / processes for identifying, measuring, managing and monitoring payment transaction risks were appropriate and that they were effectively applied in the case of “audit” depth.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:



### 6.2.18 Other risks from non lending and non deposit transactions

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that the internal instructions and methods / processes for identifying, measuring, managing and monitoring further risks\* from non-deposit transactions were appropriate and that they were effectively applied in the case of “audit” depth.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

\*These are any other material risks from non-deposit transactions in relation to the specific business activity of the audited company.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.2.19 Other risks from legal and litigation risks

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the methods / processes used to identify, measure, manage and monitor the other risks in connection with legal and litigation risks were adequate and, in the case of the audit depth “audit”, were applied effectively.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.2.20 Other risks related to compliance issues

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that methods / processes for identification, measurement, management and monitoring of other risks in connection with compliance issues were adequate and, in case of the audit depth “audit” were applied effectively.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.3 Liquidity

### 6.3.1 Qualitative liquidity requirements

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the qualitative requirements have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the disclosure requirements of qualitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the relief claimed under the proportionality principle pursuant to margin no. 8 of FINMA Circular 15/2 was justified.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.3.2 Quantitative liquidity requirements

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the quantitative requirements have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.4 Risk concentration

### 6.4.1 Risk diversification requirements

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that any further institution-specific restrictions/requirements in the area of risk diversification have been taken into account.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.4.2 Risk concentrations in the lending business

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor risk concentrations in connection with the lending business were appropriate.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	---

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.4.3 Risk concentrations from refinancing

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor risk concentrations in connection with refinancing were appropriate.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.4.4 Risk concentrations from market risks

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor concentrations of risk associated with market risks were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.4.5 Other risk concentrations

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the methods used to identify, measure, manage and monitor other risk concentrations were appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the governing body has introduced an adequate risk policy and appropriate limits.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the risk policy and limits have been effectively applied and complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.5 Internal organisation / ICS

### 6.5.1 Proper conduct of business with governing bodies and parties holding a qualified participation

Net risk	Reporting year of intervention	Confirmation that such transactions were granted in accordance with generally accepted banking principles.
<input type="radio"/> very high <input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low <input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.5.2 Internal organisation / internal control system

Net risk

- very high  
 high  
 medium  
 low  
 n.a.

Covered elements	Last three interventions preceding the reporting year (RY-3, RY-2, RY-1)			Reporting year (RY)
	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
Adequacy of the overall internal organization (Organizational structure, segregation of duties, job descriptions, responsibilities and competences)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Adequacy of the internal directives (structure, clarity, up-to-datedness, periodic review and approval process, etc.)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Adequacy of the internal control system (methods for risk identification, assessment, measurement, monitoring and reporting, implementation of a proper framework and definition of controls)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Measures to adequately limit conflicts of interest (incl. remuneration schemes)	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Internal reporting (content, recipient, periodicity, escalation processes, etc.) and corporate governance disclosures	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Risk policy and principles for institution-wide risk management	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Further: ... (please complete)	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Confirmation that the requirements and principles of corporate governance were complied with and that the areas of "internal organisation" and "internal control system" were appropriately designed (based on the findings of the elements covered).

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that there has been an adequate separation between the supreme governing body and the executive board (based on the knowledge of the elements covered).

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



### 6.5.3 Information technology (IT)

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Covered elements

Last three interventions preceding the reporting year (RY-3, RY-2, RY-1)

Reporting year (RY)

IT strategy, organisation and governance	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
IT risks and controls / cyber risks	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Logical and physical security / information protection	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
IT infrastructure and IT service delivery	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
IT outsourcing and vendor management	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
End user computing and data quality	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Further: ... (please complete)	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Confirmation that the cyber area was designed adequately (based on the results of the audit procedures performed in connection with the covered elements).

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the other areas of the IT audit field (excl. cyber) were designed adequately (based on the results of the audit procedures performed in connection with the covered elements).

- Yes
- No
- n.a.



Confirmation that the institution complied with the stricter requirements ordered by FINMA.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.5.4 Handling of electronic customer data

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the requirements in connection with the handling of electronic client data were met (Appendix 3 of FINMA Circular 08/21).

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the institution complied with the stricter requirements ordered by FINMA.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.5.5 Internal audit

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the technical and personnel resources of Internal Audit were adequate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the necessary professional skills were available at Internal Audit.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that cooperation / coordination with Internal Audit was appropriate.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal audit reports were accessible.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal audit function was adequate overall on the basis of the findings of the audit procedures performed.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



### 6.5.6 Outsourcing

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

#### 6.5.6.1 New outsourcing agreements

In the reporting year, new significant outsourcing agreements were concluded in accordance with FINMA Circular 18/3.

Indication of new outsourcing agreements which experienced an intervention with "audit" depth in the reporting year.

- Yes
- No

Confirmation that the requirements and principles regarding new outsourcing agreements have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

#### 6.5.6.2 Existing outsourcing agreements

Covered elements

Last three interventions preceding the reporting year (RY-3, RY-2, RY-1)

Reporting year (RY)

Please complete ...	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Confirmation that the outsourcing requirements and principles have been generally met (based on the findings of the elements covered).

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.5.7 BCM (business continuity management)

Net risk <input type="radio"/> very high <input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low <input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.	Reporting year of intervention <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	Confirmation that the requirements and principles in the BCM area have been complied with in accordance with FINMA's minimum requirements. <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> n.a.
---	---	---

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.5.8 Central functions for risk control and risk mitigation: Risk control functions

Net risk <input type="radio"/> very high <input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low <input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.	Reporting year of intervention <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	Confirmation that the technical and personnel resources of the risk control function were adequate and it actually assumed responsibility. <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> n.a.
---	---	---

Confirmation that the organisational set-up of the risk control function and the compensation system did not lead to a conflict of interest.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.5.9 Central functions for risk control and risk mitigation: Compliance function

Net risk <input type="radio"/> very high <input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low <input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.	Reporting year of intervention <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	Confirmation that the technical and personnel resources of the compliance function were adequate and it actually assumed responsibility. <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> n.a.
---	---	---

Confirmation that the organisational set-up of the compliance function and the compensation system did not lead to a conflict of interest.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.5.10 Qualitative requirements for the management of operational risks

<p>Net risk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> very high</li> <li><input type="radio"/> high</li> <li><input type="radio"/> medium</li> <li><input type="radio"/> low</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</li> </ul>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</li> <li><input type="radio"/> audit</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</li> </ul>	<p>Confirmation that the qualitative requirements for the management of operational risks have been met.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> Yes</li> <li><input type="radio"/> No</li> <li><input type="radio"/> n.a.</li> </ul>
---	---	--

Confirmation that the supervised entity has complied with the tightened rules imposed by FINMA.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.6 Compliance with money laundering regulations

<p>Net risk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> very high</li> <li><input type="radio"/> high</li> <li><input type="radio"/> medium</li> <li><input type="radio"/> low</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit points covered and audit year during the last three interventions preceding the reporting year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Audit items Core module</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Audit item B "Identification"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Audit item C "Complex structures"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Audit item D "In-depth PEP"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Audit item E "Trade financing &amp; legal and reputational risks in sanctions"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Audit item F "Virtual assets (VA) / Virtual asset service provider (VASP) – services"</li> </ul>
---	--

Covered elements	Last three interventions preceding the reporting year (RY-3, RY-2, RY-1)			Reporting year (RY)
<p>Audit items Core module</p>	<p>RY -3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</li> <li><input type="radio"/> audit</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</li> </ul>	<p>RY -2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</li> <li><input type="radio"/> audit</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</li> </ul>	<p>RY -1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</li> <li><input type="radio"/> audit</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</li> </ul>	<p>RY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</li> <li><input type="radio"/> audit</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</li> </ul>

Audit item B "Identification"	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Audit item C "Complex structures"	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Audit item D "In-depth PEP"	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Audit item E "Trade financing & legal and reputational risks in sanctions"	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Audit item F "Virtual assets (VA) / Virtual asset service provider (VASP) – services"	RY -3 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -2 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY -1 <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	RY <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Confirmation that adequate organisational measures exist to ensure compliance with money laundering regulations.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that business relationships with increased risks were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that transactions with increased risks were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the reporting obligation and freezing of assets were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

If audit point B was selected: Confirmation that the opening of business relationships (e.g. identification of contractual partner, determination of holder of control / beneficial owner, etc.) was carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

If audit point C was selected: Confirmation that business relationships with complex structures were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

If audit point D was selected: Confirmation that business relationships with PEP were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

If audit point E was selected: Confirmation that sanctions and embargoes have been implemented correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

If audit point E was selected: Confirmation that the specific measures relating to Trade finance have been correctly implemented.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

If audit point F was selected: Confirmation that the due diligence obligations applicable to VA and VASP services are appropriately integrated into the AML-Dispositive.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.7 Dormant assets

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the requirements regarding the treatment of dormant assets have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.8 Compliance with reporting obligations

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the reporting obligations pursuant to FINMA Circular 08/14 have been complied with in terms of form and content.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that adequate organisational measures have been taken to comply with reporting and disclosure obligations.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.9 Compliance with other supervisory regulations

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that adequate organisational measures have been taken to comply with and monitor further regulatory requirements.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

## 6.10 Consolidated supervision

The audit firm submits a chart of the group structure (see section 9 "Appendix") and provides the following information on the entities included in the scope of consolidation. Alternatively, the audit firm submits the information in accordance with the following structure by means of an appendix to this report.

Company name		Head office	
<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>	
Purpose of the company			
<input type="text"/>			
Capital stock	Capital stock	Voting ratio in %	Capital ratio in %
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Currency	Amount	Relevant for the group of consolidated companies.	Relevant for the group of consolidated companies.
local audit firm	Subordination to a supervisory authority?	Supervisory authority	
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="text"/>	
Type of consolidated supervision	Were there any changes in the audit year that had an impact on the scope/content or type of consolidated monitoring?		
<input type="radio"/> only qualitative <input type="radio"/> only quantitative <input type="radio"/> qualitative and quantitative	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <small>such as changes in the ownership structure/business ties, materiality or activity of the group company, etc.</small>		

Explanation of change(s)

Are there special purpose vehicles held directly or indirectly for own use which are not included in the regulatory group of consolidated entities?

- Yes  
 No

Explanation of their significance and purpose for the group and reference to the relevant appendix.



Company name

Head office



Purpose of the company

Capital stock

Capital stock

Voting ratio in %

Capital ratio in %





Currency

Amount

Relevant for the group of consolidated companies.

Relevant for the group of consolidated companies.

local audit firm

Subordination to a supervisory authority?

Supervisory authority

- Yes  
 No

Type of consolidated supervision

Were there any changes in the audit year that had an impact on the scope/content or type of consolidated monitoring?

- only qualitative  
 only quantitative  
 qualitative and quantitative

- Yes  
 No

such as changes in the ownership structure/business ties, materiality or activity of the group company, etc.

Explanation of change(s)

Are there special purpose vehicles held directly or indirectly for own use which are not included in the regulatory group of consolidated entities?

- Yes  
 No

Explanation of their significance and purpose for the group and reference to the relevant appendix.



### 6.10.1 Corporate governance at group level



<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the requirements and principles in the area of corporate governance have been complied with at group level.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	---

Confirmation that the regulatory group of consolidated entities complies with the requirements pursuant to Articles 21 – 23 of the Banking Ordinance.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.10.2 Group functions for risk control and risk mitigation

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the technical and personnel resources in the Compliance function were sufficient and that it effectively discharged its responsibilities.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	---

Confirmation that the technical and personnel resources in the risk control function were sufficient and that it effectively discharged its responsibilities.

Confirmation that the organisational arrangement of these functions did not result in any conflict of objectives.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p> | <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p> |
|--|--|

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.10.3 Group internal audit

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the technical and personnel resources of Internal Audit were adequate.</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	---

Confirmation that the necessary professional skills were available at Internal Audit.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the internal audit function was adequate overall on the basis of the findings of the audit procedures performed.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.10.4 Group-wide measures to combat money laundering

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Audit points covered and audit year during the last three interventions preceding the reporting year: Audit item A: Global monitoring of legal and reputational risks

Covered elements

Last three interventions preceding the reporting year (RY-3, RY-2, RY-1)

Reporting year (RY)

Audit point A "Group supervision"

RY -3

RY -2

RY -1

RY

critical assessment

audit

none

critical assessment

audit

none

critical assessment

audit

none

critical assessment

audit

none

Confirmation that the domestic Group companies included in the scope have complied with Swiss anti-money laundering regulations.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the financial intermediary ensures that its branches or group companies abroad active in the financial or insurance sector comply with the principles of the AMLA and that the implementation of the global monitoring of legal and reputational risks has been carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.10.5 Group-wide measures to comply with obligations in connection with derivative transactions

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that appropriate organisational measures have been taken to ensure compliance with obligations relating to derivative transactions at group level.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	---

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.10.6 Group-wide measures to ensure compliance with qualitative liquidity requirements

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that adequate organisational measures have been taken to meet qualitative liquidity requirements at group level.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	---

Confirmation that the relief claimed under the proportionality principle pursuant to margin no. 8 of FINMA Circular 15/2 was justified.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.10.7 Group-wide precautions for compliance with capital adequacy and risk diversification requirements

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that adequate organisational measures have been taken to comply with capital adequacy and risk distribution requirements at group level.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	---

Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.10.8 Intragroup financing structures and contingent liabilities

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that intra-group positions and structures have been correctly approved and monitored.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.10.9 Group-wide measures for compliance with other Swiss and foreign supervisory regulations

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that adequate organisational measures have been taken to comply with and monitor further regulatory requirements in Switzerland and abroad.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that foreign group companies have not been used to circumvent domestic law.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

### 6.10.10 Compliance with non-model-based capital adequacy requirements (consolidated)

<p>Net risk</p> <p><input type="radio"/> very high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> high</p> <p><input type="radio"/> medium</p> <p><input type="radio"/> low</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.</p>	<p>Reporting year of intervention</p> <p><input type="radio"/> critical assessment</p> <p><input type="radio"/> audit</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> none</p>	<p>Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the consolidated eligible capital were carried out correctly.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> n.a.</p>
--	--	--

Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the consolidated minimum capital required were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the capital target and intervention level with regard to FINMA categorisation – taking into account any other individual requirements – were complied with in the planning data.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the capital planning requirements have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with “n.a.”:

### 6.10.11 Compliance with capital adequacy requirements from and authorisation requirements for internal models approved by FINMA (consolidated)

Net risk RWA model approaches covered during the last three interventions preceding the reporting year:

- very high
  - high
  - medium
  - low
  - n.a.
- Internal ratings-based approach (IRB)
  - Counterparty credit risks (CCR)
  - Advanced measurement approach (AMA)
  - Internal models approach for market risk (IMA)

RWA model approaches covered	Last three interventions preceding the reporting year (RY-3, RY-2, RY-1)	Reporting year (RY)
------------------------------	--	---------------------

Internal ratings-based approach (IRB)	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment
	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> audit
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Counterparty credit risks (CCR)	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment
	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> audit	<input type="radio"/> audit
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input checked="" type="radio"/> none

Advanced measurement approach (AMA)	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none
Internal models approach for market risk (IMA)	RY -3	RY -2	RY -1	RY
	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	<input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none

IRB: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IRB: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IRB: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

CCR: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

CCR: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

CCR: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

AMA: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

AMA: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

AMA: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IMA: Confirmation that the determination and calculation of the required capital were carried out correctly.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IMA: Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for qualitative and quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

IMA: Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the other applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.10.12 Compliance with risk diversification requirements (consolidated)

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that, based on the findings of the audit procedures performed, the applicable regulations as set out in the appendix to the guidelines have been complied with.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that any further institution-specific restrictions/requirements in the area of risk diversification have been taken into account.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":



#### 6.10.13 Compliance with quantitative liquidity requirements (consolidated)

Net risk

- very high
- high
- medium
- low
- n.a.

Reporting year of intervention

- critical assessment
- audit
- none

Confirmation that the quantitative requirements have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Confirmation that the disclosure requirements for quantitative information have been met.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

#### 6.10.14 Compliance with consolidated reporting obligations

Net risk <input type="radio"/> very high <input type="radio"/> high <input type="radio"/> medium <input type="radio"/> low <input checked="" type="radio"/> n.a.	Reporting year of intervention <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input checked="" type="radio"/> none	Confirmation that the reporting obligations pursuant to FINMA Circular 08/14 have been complied with in terms of form and content. <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> n.a.
---	---	---

Confirmation that adequate organisational measures have been taken to comply with reporting and disclosure obligations.

- Yes
- No
- n.a.

Summary of the audit procedures performed

Explanation of audit confirmations answered with "n.a.":

#### 6.10.15 Supplementary elements / significant business risks from group companies

Die Prüfungsgesellschaft erwähnt, aus welchen Gruppengesellschaften die wesentlichen Geschäftsrisiken (Kredit-, Markt-, operationelle und andere Risiken) stammen und gibt summarisch an, wie diese Prüfbereiche im Berichtsjahr abgedeckt wurden (falls neben der auf Einzelstufe abgebildeten Gesellschaft weitere Gruppengesellschaften mit wesentlichen Geschäftsrisiken bestehen).

In addition to the company(ies) covered at single-entity level, are there other significant business risks at group companies (credit, market, operational and other risks)?

- Yes
- No

Risk	Explanation of significant risks for group companies
<input type="radio"/> credit risks <input type="radio"/> market risks <input type="radio"/> operational risks <input type="radio"/> other risks	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>



<b>Risk</b> <input type="radio"/> credit risks <input type="radio"/> market risks <input type="radio"/> operational risks <input type="radio"/> other risks	<b>Explanation of significant risks for group companies</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	

## 6.11 Result of additional audits

Were additional audits ordered or approved by FINMA for the audit year?

- Yes  
 No

<b>Audit field / topic</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Intervention from</b> ... <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Intervention until</b> ... <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Was a separate report submitted to FINMA for this additional audit?</b> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Report no. / date</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Summary of audit results</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>		
<b>Audit depth</b> <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input type="radio"/> n.a.	<b>* other audit depth</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>		
<b>Agreed requirements by FINMA</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			
<b>detailed description of the audit procedures</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			
<b>Significant findings of the audit procedures</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			
<small>Any irregularities or recommendations must be recorded under Chapter 4.</small>			
<b>Audit field / topic</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Intervention from</b> ... <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Intervention until</b> ... <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Was a separate report submitted to FINMA for this additional audit?</b> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Report no. / date</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<b>Summary of audit results</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>		
<b>Audit depth</b> <input type="radio"/> critical assessment <input type="radio"/> audit <input type="radio"/> n.a.	<b>* other audit depth</b> <input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>		

Agreed requirements by FINMA

detailed description of the audit procedures

Significant findings of the audit procedures

Any irregularities or recommendations must be recorded under Chapter 4.

## 7 Other remarks

---

### 7.1 Events after the end of the audit period

In the following, the audit firm describes all significant events identified in the period between the completion of the audit procedures and the submission of the audit report.

Were significant events identified between the completion of the audit procedures and the delivery of the audit report?

Yes  No

Designation

Description, including any measures to be taken or that have been taken

Status of implementation

Assessment of the impact on risk analysis

### 7.2 Supplementary remarks / information

The audit firm's further comments/information are intended to supplement the issues listed above. Where the audit firm deems it necessary, it should serve to round off the overall picture of the supervisory audit report (e.g. supplementary reporting). They may not be formulated in a way which diminishes the statements made by the auditors in this report or in the individual review programmes.

Other remarks

## 8 Signatures / confirmations of the audit firm

---

This audit report must present the results of the audit in a comprehensive, unambiguous and objective manner. The lead auditor together with another auditor with signatory powers have to confirm this with their signatures (qualified electronic signature) on the report (PDF), which they submit as an attachment to the electronic survey via the FINMA survey platform.

If it is not possible to sign the report electronically in a qualified manner, it must be printed out, signed by hand and submitted to FINMA by post in addition to the electronic submission of the survey via the FINMA survey platform.

The signed report on the regulatory audit will be submitted as follows:

- Electronically via EHP (with qualified electronic signature)
- hardcopy by post (signed by hand)

Signature of lead auditor

Signature of additional person

## 9 Appendix

---

The following documents must be submitted with the audit report:

- a) AMLA survey form (as a separate survey)
- b) Optional (can be subsequently requested by FINMA if necessary): List of positions (balance sheet and off-balance sheet) vis-à-vis domestic and foreign group companies, affiliated companies and parties holding a qualified participation (see also appendix to FINMA Circ. 13/7 "Limitierung gruppeninterner Positionen");
- c) Chart of the groupe structure including shareholdings (taking into account additional information on consolidated supervision, see section 6.10) [mandatory annex for parent companies and holding structures, etc.]
- d) Organization chart(s) (as a minimum, with details of the persons responsible for each division or department)
- e) List of abbreviations (if any)
- f) Further \*\*

	Appendix name **