

# AMLA audit points for banks 2023 Version 06/2023

Master data	
Name of institution	Authorisation of institution
Risk category	Audit firm
Contact at audit firm / lead auditor	Audit year
Please select:	
<ul> <li>Single-entity level only</li> <li>Single-entity and group level (parent company structure)</li> <li>Group level only (holding structure/atypical structure)</li> </ul>	
Inherent risk - Audit area "Compliance with anti-money laur	ndering rules":
O Low O Medium O High O Very high	
Is it an institution without client relationships subject to the Soney Laundering and Terrorist Financing in the Financial O Yes O No	
Are there any specific reasons which, in consultation with the institution from completing the reporting form for the current O Yes O No	ne institution's Key Account Manager at FINMA, release the t audit period?
If one of the above two questions has been answered we be completed.	vith YES, only the "Master data" cover sheet needs to



## **Explanations**

#### Notes:

FINMA Circular 2013/3 "Auditing" (FINMA-Circ. 13/3) applies. The audit cycle is dependent on the risk analysis (margin no. 100 FINMA-Circ. 13/3). The reduced audit frequency will be approved by FINMA upon application by the institution (margin no. 86.1 FINMA-Circ. 13/3).

Depending on the audit cycle, the audit firm selects from the additional audit items A - F and assesses:

- for a high or very high net risk and annual audit 2 additional audit items;
- for a medium net risk and audit every two years 1 additional audit item;
- for a low net risk and audit every three years 1 additional audit item.

The additional audit items are selected at the discretion of the audit firm. The auditor selects the additional audit items depending on the effective business activities and risk assessment. The following special rules apply (which may result in a further additional audit item being selected in justified cases):

- Audit item A group supervision is to be selected only and whenever group-wide measures to combat money laundering are to be taken in the audit field at group level. The module can be used to submit the audit confirmations in relation to foreign group entities of the sample audit report. For those institutions for which group-wide measures to combat money laundering are only to be taken in the audit field at group level, the core part of the AMLA data submission form does not need to be filled in.
- It should be ensured that audit item B identification is selected at least once every 4 years.
- The information required under "audit items" relates to the respective legal entity of the supervised institution. Foreign branches of the supervised institution do not have to be taken into account. Theses need only be taken into account for the additional audit item A group supervision, for which a group perspective is to be assumed. If the "group-wide measures to combat money laundering" audit field is also being audited at the same time, the results from the additional audit item A group supervision can be drawn on for this.
- This document covers the audit requirements set out in the AMLA, AMLO-FINMA and CDB. It forms part of the regulatory audit report. Possible findings from other areas are to be entered in the free text field at the end.
- Qualifications and recommendations are to be mentioned in section "Summery of audit results / qualifications and recommendations" of the regulatory audit report.
- The term "internal guidelines" refers to all written internal instructions.
- Every client's master account comprises a "file" or a "business relationship".



#### **Random samples:**

The random sample size is determined by the agreement between EXPERTsuisse and FINMA (cf. supplement to the survey). The random sample should be selected on a risk-oriented basis so as to increase the probability of any serious AMLA violations being detected. To achieve this goal, one or more of the following criteria could be applied when selecting the random samples, depending on the institution:

- business relationships that are managed at different locations or by different entities (shared relationships);
- business relationships of those RMs with the largest revenues;
- business relationships of RMs with very large bonuses;
- business relationships with high AuM and high transaction volumes;
- business relationships with unusual transaction behavior (e.g. pass-through transactions, high number of transactions with increased risks, payments to high-risk countries etc.);
- business relationships in high-risk markets from an AMLA perspective, in which the FI is pursuing a growth strategy;
- business relationships from atypical markets for the FI and business relationships that do not correspond to the FI's business model;
- business relationships with retained mail and/or numbered accounts;
- business relationships in which a member of the executive board or the board of directors or the owner of the bank is significantly involved (e.g. through co-ownership, referrals, supervision etc.);
- business relationships with beneficial owners with a number of domicile companies as well as accounts in the name
  of the beneficial owner;
- business relationships of operating companies where the owner and/or the members of the company's executive board also have a private business relationship with the FI;
- business relationships which involve state-affiliated customers;
- business relationships which were taken over from or referred by other FI;
- if the FI offers video/online identification, such disclosures must also be taken into account when selecting the random sample.

Reasons for the selection of the random sample must be provided in the field labelled "Reasons for the selection of the random sample by the audit firm" at the end of the audit section.

#### **Shortcomings:**

Shortcomings are defined in Swiss Audit Notice 70 (PH 70) margin no. 125 ff., especially margin no. 127. If shortcomings are found, qualifications or recommendations are to be made in accordance with margin no. 126 PH 70.

### **Qualifications and recommendations:**

Regarding qualifications and recommendations Article 11 FINMA-AO is authoritative. Classification of findings in accordance with margin no. 75.1 ff. FINMA-Circ. 13/3.

## Regulatory requirements:

The regulatory requirements are listed in the main title of the relevant audit field.

#### Selection of the audit items

Audit items (Organisational measures; Business relationships with increased risks; Transactions with increased risk; Duty to report and freezing of assets; Risk assessment for compliance with the anti-money laundering rules by the audit firm; Free text field for possible findings from other areas and Reasons for the selection of the random sample).

O Yes O No



Audit item A: Global monitoring of legal and reputational risks - Branch offices and group companies abroad or management of a financial group (Art. 5 f. AMLO-FINMA)  O Yes O No
Audit item B: Verification of the contracting parties' identity, establishing the identity of the beneficial owners of operating legal entities or partnerships (controlling person) and establishing the identity of the beneficial owner of the assets (at the start of and during the business relationship) incl. repetition of the verification of the identity of the contracting party or the establishment of the identity of the beneficial owner and periodical check and update of the records (Art. 3 - 7 AMLA, Art. 4 - 46 CDB 20)  O Yes O No
Audit item C: "Complex structures" (within the meaning of Art. 13 para. 2 let. h AMLO-FINMA)  O Yes O No
Audit item D: "In-depth PEP"  O Yes O No
Audit item E: "Trade financing & legal and reputational risks in sanctions"  ○ Yes ○ No
Audit item F: Virtual assets (VA) / Virtual asset service provider (VASP) - services  O Yes O No
Organisational measures (Art. 23 ff. AMLO-FINMA)  1.1 Does the FI have an appropriately organised and adequately qualified competence centre for combating money laundering? Are its duties compliant with statutory provisions (Art. 24 f. AMLO-FINMA)?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
1.2 In the event of outsourcing, has an expert been appointed to operate the competence centre for combating money laundering?
O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":
<ul><li>1.3 Is there an appropriate internal training programme for the FI's business activities?</li><li>O Yes O No</li></ul>
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
1.4 Is a compliant and approved risk analysis in place (Art. 25 para. 2 AMLA-FINMA)?
O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":



the FI's risk appetite reflected in the FI's customer structure?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
1.6 "Compliance mentality": While conducting the AMLA audit, did you find evidence to suggest that the "tone at the top" with regard to compliance or observance of anti-money laundering rules is not appropriate?  O Yes O No
Reason:
Qualifications from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:

1.5 On the basis of the other audit work carried out for the AMLA data submission form: in the view of the audit firm, is



# Business relationships with increased risks (including with politically exposed persons [PEPs]) (Art. 13 ff. AMLO-FINMA)

Random samples by audit firm: Were the regulatory requirements for business relationships with increased risks and the requirements defined by the bank adhered to? Random sample size: Number of files out of (Population): All permanent business relationships which qualify as a business relationship with increased risk. Auditing of the periodic regulatory requirements (e.g. periodic review of relationships with increased risk, annual PEP approval) takes place on a random basis for the period since the last corresponding audit by the audit firm. Number of foreign PEPs in sample: Number of files with shortcomings: Qualifications (Sample): O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required. Qualification (qualifications regarding PEPs are to be marked): Short description of the qualification Classification: Qualification (qualifications regarding PEPs are to be marked): Short description of the qualification Classification: Recommendations (Sample): O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required. Recommendation (recommendations regarding PEPs are to be marked): Short description of the recommendation Classification: Recommendation (recommendations regarding PEPs are to be marked): Short description of the recommendation Classification:



Comments:
2.1 Are there appropriate and regulation-compliant internal guidelines in place for identifying and carrying out additional clarifications regarding business relationships with increased risk (incl. review and approval processes) incl. clear processes and procedures and clarification of the responsibilities and competencies? (design effectiveness) O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
<ul><li>2.2 Are the rules applied sensible and appropriate (e.g. appropriate with regard to exposure to risk, customer population, business and organisational complexity etc. of the institution)?</li><li>O Yes O No</li></ul>
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
2.3 Does the FI have an appropriate IT-supported monitoring system for regularly identifying and flagging business relationships with increased risk?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
2.4 Assessment of the quality of the documented KYC information based on the random samples carried out (incl. information about whether the type and purpose of the business relationship requested by the contracting party was identified)
O Appropriate O Not appropriate
Reason:
2.5 Is the periodic review documentation sufficiently detailed for the competent bodies to make an objective decision regarding the continuation of the business relationship on the basis of this information?*  O Yes O No
* Evaluation of the documents that the body responsible in accordance with internal guidelines receives in the course of periodic resubmission and on the basis of which it makes decisions regarding continuation etc.
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
2.6 Does the analysis of transaction patterns form part of the review process and the documentation?
O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
2.7 Were there open alerts at the time of the audit (business relationship with increased risk, name matching alerts or similar) that should already have been dealt with in accordance with internal deadlines?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":



<ul><li>2.8 Has the FI implemented appropriate controls as part of its ICS?</li><li>O Yes O No</li></ul>
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
2.9 Has the FI developed and set down in writing criteria for identifying business relationships with increased risk in connection with qualified tax offences (Art. 21 AMLO-FINMA)?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
Qualifications from the audit: O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Qualification:
Short description of the qualification  Classification:
Qualification:
Short description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations from the audit: O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation:
Short description of the recommendation
Classification:
Recommendation:
Short description of the recommendation
Classification:



# Transactions with increased risk (Art. 14 ff. AMLO-FINMA)

Random samples by audit firm: Were timely and comprehensibly for non-in	e additional enquiries into transactions wit avolved third parties?	h increased risk documented plausibly,
Random sample size: Number of transactions	out of (Population):	Number of transactions with shortcomings:
	All transactions with increased risk identified using the criteria developed by the FI since the last audit.	
Qualifications (Sample): O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as r	equired.	
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Qualification:		
Description of the gualification		
Description of the qualification  Classification:		
Ciassification.		
Recommendations (Sample): O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as r	equired.	
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Comments:		



3.1 Are there appropriate and regulation-compliant internal guidelines in place for identifying and carrying out additional clarifications regarding transactions with increased risk incl. clear processes and procedures and clarification of the responsibilities and competencies? (design effectiveness)  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
3.2 Were these adhered to?* O Yes O No *Evaluation of adherence to the processes, procedures and responsibilities and competencies on the basis of the random samples carried out (no additional random samples).
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
3.3 Does the FI have an appropriate IT-supported transaction monitoring system for identifying transactions with increased risk?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
3.4 Are there appropriate processes and rules/scenarios for identifying relevant transactions?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
3.5 Were the relevant transactions identified?*  O Yes O No
*Assessment on the basis of random samples for a specific rule in order to check whether the rule works.  Reason if the question was answered with "No":
Reason ii the question was answered with INO .
3.6 Does the FI ensure comprehensive monitoring of the business relationships and transactions? Are transactions from interconnected relationships (e.g. same contracting party, same beneficial owner, same authorised representative) taken into account?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
3.7 Were there open alerts at the time of the audit that should already have been dealt with in accordance with internal deadlines?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":
3.8 Has the FI implemented appropriate controls within the scope of its ICS in connection with the recognition and monitoring of transactions with increased risk?  O Yes O No



Reason if the question was answered with "No":
3.9 Assessment of the quality of the documented information in connection with transaction monitoring on the basis of the random samples carried out?
O Appropriate O Not appropriate
Reason:
Qualifications from the audit:
○ Yes ○ No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Qualification:
Qualification.
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:

## Duty to report and freezing of assets (Art. 9 ff. AMLA)

Random samples by audit firm: In the random samples checked as part of this audit, how many files contained indications that the FI has violated its duty to report (Art. 9 AMLA)?



Number of files	Number of files with shortcomings:
Qualifications (Sample): O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required.	
Qualification:	
Description of the qualification	
Classification:	
Qualification:	
Description of the qualification	
Classification:	
Recommendations (Sample): O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required.	
Recommendation:	
Description of the recommendation	
Classification:	
Recommendation:	
Description of the recommendation	
Classification:	
Comments:	
4.1 Does the FI use organisational measures to ensure that reasonable grounds to suspect money laundering? O Yes O No	the MROS is notified immediately where there are
Reason if the question was answered with "No":	





Recommendations from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Risk assessment for compliance with the anti-money laundering rules by the audit firm
(Margin no. 79 ff. incl. Appendix 13 to FINMA-Circ. 2013/3 (Auditing)
5.1 Is the last risk assessment concerning inherent risk still appropriate?
O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
Reason if the question was answered with Tvo .
5.2 Does the audit result in an adjustment to the assessment of the control risk compared with the last assessment at
the time of establishing the risk analysis for this audit year?
the time of establishing the risk analysis for this audit year?  O Yes O No
○ Yes ○ No
○ Yes ○ No  Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":
○ Yes ○ No
O Yes O No  Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":  5.3 Is the last risk assessment concerning net risk still appropriate?  O Yes O No
O Yes O No  Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":  5.3 Is the last risk assessment concerning net risk still appropriate?
O Yes O No  Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":  5.3 Is the last risk assessment concerning net risk still appropriate?  O Yes O No
O Yes O No  Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":  5.3 Is the last risk assessment concerning net risk still appropriate?  O Yes O No



### Reasons for the selection of the random sample

Reasons for the selection of the random sample
Reasons for the selection of the random sample by the audit firm (an assessment based on the specific risks of the business model or a qualitative assessment of the population for the random sampling):
Audit item A: Global monitoring of legal and reputational risks - Branch offices and group companies abroad or management of a financial group with foreign companies (Art. 5 f. AMLO-FINMA)
Audit item A group supervision is to be selected only and whenever group-wide measures to combat money laundering are to be taken in the audit field at group level. The module can be used to submit the audit confirmations in relation to the fig. "foreign group entities" of the sample audit report. For those institutions for which group-wide measures to combat money laundering are only to be taken in the audit field at group level, the core part of the AMLA data submission form does not need to be filled in.
The auditor may, at his or her discretion, conduct functional tests and/or substantive audit procedures where necessary, so that a positive audit opinion can be delivered for an audit with audit depth "Audit".
A1. Has the FI (e.g. by means of internal guidelines or controls) ensured that its foreign branch offices or subsidiaries are in compliance with the relevant principles of the AMLA and the AMLO-FINMA, as well as with any local regulations that apply? (design effectiveness)  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
A2. Does the FI have an overview of those principles and group standards in relation to AML/CFT directives that cannot be implemented in a foreign entity?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
A3. Are these deviations justified and documented?  O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":
A4. Is there an information and/or approval process in place for any deviations?  O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":



A5. Were these adhered to?
O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":
A6. Pursuant to Article 6 AMLO-FINMA, FIs with foreign branch offices or that manage a financial group with foreign companies must identify, limit and monitor their legal and reputational risks in connection with money laundering and terrorism financing on a global level. Does the FI conduct an appropriate consolidated risk analysis in this regard?  O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":
A7. Does the FI (subject to legal provisions) have access to customer information within the group and at the group level and is this clearly defined and regulated?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
A8. Are effective processes in place regarding the reporting system (as a minimum top down [from parent to subsidiary or branch], bottom up [from subsidiary or branch to parent] and ad hoc reporting)?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
A9. Has the FI implemented appropriate controls for the global monitoring of legal and reputational risks within the scope of its ICS?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
Reason ii the question was answered with Tvo .
A10. Are spot checks carried out at foreign entities as part of on-site inspections by the group's competence centre for combating money laundering and/or the group's internal audit department?
O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":
A11. Is the selection process for selecting the random samples appropriate?
O Yes O No O n/a
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":



combating money laundering and/or the group's internal audit department, are there effective processes for taking measures and monitoring these?
<ul><li>○ Yes</li><li>○ No</li><li>○ n/a</li></ul>
Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":
Qualifications from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendation
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Comments:
Comments.

A12. If findings are made at foreign entities during the on-site inspections by the group's competence centre for



Audit item B: Verification of the contracting parties' identity, establishing the identity of the beneficial owners of operating legal entities or partnerships (controlling person) and establishing the identity of the beneficial owner of the assets (at the start of and during the business relationship) incl. repetition of the verification of the identity of the contracting party or the establishment of the identity of the beneficial owner and periodical check and update of the records (Art. 3 - 7 AMLA, Art. 4 - 46 CDB 20)

Number of all permanent business relationships, flagging up the newly established permanent business relationships since the last audit.	Number of files with shortcomings:
relationships, flagging up the newly established permanent business relationships since the last audit.	
relationships, flagging up the newly established permanent business relationships since the last audit.	
red.	
red.	
red.	
red.	
	red.



Classification:
Comments:
B1. Are there appropriate and regulation-compliant internal guidelines in place (incl. review and approval processes) and clarification of the responsibilities and competencies? (design effectiveness)  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
B2. Are these adhered to?* O Yes O No *Evaluation of adherence to the processes, procedures and responsibilities and competencies on the basis of the random samples carried out (no additional random samples).
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
B3. Are there clear internal instructions for dealing with frequent changes of beneficial owner and/or power of attorney as an indication of the need for repetition of the verification of the identity of the contracting party or establishment of the identity of the beneficial owner?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
B4. Has the FI implemented appropriate controls for verifying the identity of contracting party, establishing the identity of the controlling person and establishing the identity of the beneficial owner within the scope of its ICS?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
B5. Have there been CDB violations since the last assessment of this audit item by the audit firm, which the bank has identified itself?  O Yes O No  Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
If "Yes": When? Violation? Isolated incident? Discovered how? Self-reporting? Stage of the procedure? FINMA informed?
If "Yes": When? Violation? Isolated incident? Discovered how? Self-reporting? Stage of the procedure? FINMA informed?
B6. Are the conditions and modalities for engaging third parties (Art. 28 f. AMLO-FINMA) being adhered to?*  O Yes O No O n/a  *Spot checks based on inspections of the written delegation agreements.



Reason if the question was answered with "No" or "n/a":
Qualifications from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendation
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Reason for selection of the audit item by the audit firm:
Audit item C: "Complex structures" (within the meaning of Art. 13 para. 2 let. h AMLO-
FINMA)
C1. Has the FI defined what constitute complex structures in its internal guidelines?
O Yes O No



Reason if the question was answered with "No":
C2. Are there customers with complex structures in the FI's customer population?
O Yes O No
If C2 was answered with "Yes":
C3. Are these business relationships flagged accordingly (in the system) (as complex structures)?
O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
C4. Is offering banking services for complex structures part of the FI's company policy?
O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":
C5. Are these business relationships conducted by the FI as business relationships with increased risk and do the
relevant internal guidelines and processes apply?
O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
If C5 was answered with "No":
C6. Are there appropriate and regulation-compliant internal guidelines in place (incl. review and approval processes) and clarification of the responsibilities and competencies for dealing with such business relationships? (design effectiveness)
O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
C7. Are these adhered to?*
○ Yes ○ No
*Evaluation of adherence to the processes, procedures and responsibilities and competencies on the basis of the random samples carried out (no additional random samples).
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
C8. Has the FI implemented appropriate controls within the scope of its ICS in connection with the recognition and monitoring of complex structures?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
Qualifications from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.



Qualification:		
Qualification.		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Recommendations from the audit:		
O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	ired.	
Recommendation		
Tresemmendation		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Classification.		
Recommendation		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Random samples by audit firm:		
Random sample size: Number of files	out of (Population):	Number of files with shortcomings:
Transom sample size. Transper of mee	out of (1 opaidilott).	Transor of files with offertoonings.
	All permanent business relationships flagged up	
	as domicile companies or all business realtionships flagged as complex structures.	
Qualifications (Sample):		
O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	iired.	
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		



Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Recommendations (Sample):		
O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	uired.	
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Comments:		
Reason for selection of the audit item b	y the audit firm:	
	•	
1		
Audit item D: "In-depth PEP"		
	ere the additional clarifications require	ed for business relationships with foreign
		ed for business relationships with foreign ies?
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We		
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compr	rehensibly for non-involved third parti	ies?
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compr	rehensibly for non-involved third parti	ies?
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compr	rehensibly for non-involved third partiout of (Population):	Number of files with shortcomings:
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compr	out of (Population):  All permanent business relationships with foreign PEPs as the contractual party, controlling person, beneficial owner of assets	Number of files with shortcomings:
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compre Random sample size: Number of files	out of (Population):  All permanent business relationships with foreign PEPs as the contractual party, controlling person, beneficial owner of assets	Number of files with shortcomings:
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compression Random sample size: Number of files  Qualifications (Sample D1):	out of (Population):  All permanent business relationships with foreign PEPs as the contractual party, controlling person, beneficial owner of assets power of attorney.	Number of files with shortcomings:
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compression Random sample size: Number of files  Qualifications (Sample D1):  O Yes O No	out of (Population):  All permanent business relationships with foreign PEPs as the contractual party, controlling person, beneficial owner of assets power of attorney.	Number of files with shortcomings:
D1. Random samples by audit firm: We PEPs documented plausibly and compression Random sample size: Number of files  Qualifications (Sample D1): O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	out of (Population):  All permanent business relationships with foreign PEPs as the contractual party, controlling person, beneficial owner of assets power of attorney.	Number of files with shortcomings:



Classification:
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations (Sample D1):
O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Comments:
D2. Has the FI defined criteria for determining when business relationships are to be conducted and flagged as other PEPs (domestic PEPs and PEPs at international organisations) in its internal guidelines?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
Qualifications from the audit: O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Qualification:
Description of the qualification



Classification:		
Recommendations from the audit:		
O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as req	uired.	
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Comments:		
D3 Random samples by audit firm: We	ere the additional clarifications required t	for business relationships with other
	rehensibly for non-involved third parties	
Random sample size: Number of files	out of (Population):	Number of files with shortcomings:
Trandom sample size. Number of files	out of (i opulation).	Number of files with shortcomings.
	All long-term business relationships with other	
	PEPs as the contractual party, controlling person, beneficial owner of assets or power of	
	attorney.	
Qualifications (Sample D3):		
O Yes O No	and the state of	
Additional rows can be added to the table as req	uirea.	
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
1		



## Recommendations (Sample D3):

O Yes O No

Additional rows can be added to the table as required.

Recommendation:			
Description of the recommendation			
Classification:			
Recommendation:			
Recommendation.			
Description of the recommendation			
Classification:			
Comments:			
D4. Random samples by audit firm: Dic	I top management or at least one of its n	nembers decide on the establishment	
of business relationships with PEPs (Ar			
Dandom comple since Nember of files	out of (Donulation)	Niverbour of files with about coming as	
Random sample size: Number of files	out of (Population):	Number of files with shortcomings:	
	Newly established permanent business		
	relationships with PEPs as the contractual party, conrolling person, beneficial owner of		
	assets or power of attorney.		
Qualifications (Sample D4):			
O Yes O No			
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	uired.		
Qualification:			
Qualification.			
Description of the qualification			
Classification:			
Qualification:			
Description of the qualification			
Classification:			
Recommendations (Sample D4):			
O Yes O No			
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	uired.		



Recommendation:		
Description of the management delice		
Description of the recommendation  Classification:		
Classification.		
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Comments:		
<u>D5. Random samples by audit firm:</u> Did business relationships with PEPs (Art.	top management or at least one of its n 19 AMLO-FINMA)?	nembers decide on the continuation of
Random sample size: Number of files	out of (Population):	Number of files with shortcomings:
To be audited using the random samples under D1 and D2; no additional random samples.	All permanent business relationships with PEPs as the contractual party, controlling person, beneficial owner of assets or power of attorney.	
Qualifications (Sample D5):		
O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	iired.	
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
0 10 0		
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Recommendations (Sample D5):		
O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	uired.	
Recommendation:		
Neconinentation.		
Description of the recommendation		



Classification:
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Comments:
Reason for selection of the audit item by the audit firm:
Audit item E: "Trade financing & legal and reputational risks in sanctions"
E1. Does the FI operate in the area of trade
financing?
O Yes O No
If E1 was answered with "No", only E2 - E12 and E16 are to be answered.
E2. Are there appropriate internal guidelines in place for implementing sanctions and embargos incl. clear processes and procedures and clarification of the responsibilities and competencies in line with Art. 12 para. 2 BO? (design effectiveness)  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
E3. Which sanction lists/regimes are used for comparison purposes?
□ CH □ EU □ US □ Others
E4. Does the FI have an appropriate IT-supported monitoring system for identifying persons and/or transactions and/or countries affected by sanctions and/or embargos etc.?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
E5. Have there been incidents since the last audit by the audit firm concerning the identification of persons and/or transactions and/or countries affected by sanctions and/or embargos etc. that point to weaknesses in the monitoring system used?*  O Yes O No
*Answer based on questioning of Legal or Compliance or Sanctions Compliance or Internal Audit or Risk Management etc. (depending on the

organisation of the bank and the bodies involved in the processes) as well as the findings from the other audit items for this audit topic (no additional

random samples).



Reason if the question was answered with "Yes":
E6. Do sensible review and approval processes exist and are these adhered to?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
E7. How quickly are the names of newly included persons on sanction lists compared against the customer base?  O Within 24 hours O Within a week O Within a month O Less quickly than within a month
E8. How quickly are the names of newly included persons on sanction lists updated in the transaction filters?  O Within 24 hours O Within a week O Within a month O Less quickly than within a month
E9. How quickly are newly sanctioned securities updated in the trading facility?
O Within 24 hours O Within a week O Within a month O Less quickly than within a month
E10. How quickly are new sanction lists/regimes or changes integrated/updated in the relevant IT systems?
O Within 24 hours O Within a week O Within a month O Less quickly than within a month
Comments:
E11. Does an ex-ante check of the name(s) against the sanction lists/regimes take place for newly established business relationships?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
E12. Are there adequate measures in place to ensure compliance with sanctions other than the mere freezing of assets (e.g. prohibition of taking deposits, prohibition of providing certain services and transactions, etc.)?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
E13. Are there specific measures for identifying acts of money laundering in relation to trade financing (e.g. overinvoicing, underinvoicing, phantom shipping)?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":



E14. Has the bank taken specific measures regarding the financing of trade in commodities and trade financing (e.g. does the bank ensure that the intended purpose of a letter of credit is not to transport a commodity from a sanctioned country)?
O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
E15. Has the bank implemented specific measures in connection with dual-use items (does the bank ensure, for example, that SECO and equivalent foreign authorisations are obtained by customers for the export of dual-use items and that the purpose of financing is adhered to)?  O Yes O No
Reason if the question was answered with "No":
E16. Assessment of the quality of the documented KYC information based on the random samples carried out.  O Appropriate
O Not appropriate
Reason:
Qualifications from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations from the audit:
O Yes O No
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation
Description of the qualification
Classification:



Recommendation		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Random samples by audit firm:		
Random sample size: Number of files	out of (Population):	Number of files with shortcomings:
	(population = files from the key audit items)	
Qualifications (Sample):		
O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	iired.	
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Classification:		
Qualification:		
Description of the qualification		
Description of the qualification  Classification:		
Classification.		
Recommendations (Sample):		
O Yes O No		
Additional rows can be added to the table as requ	iired.	
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Recommendation:		
Description of the recommendation		
Classification:		
Comments:		



Reason for selection of the audit iter	m by the audit firm:	
Audit item F: Virtual assets (	VA) / Virtual asset service provi	der (VASP)
FATF-Definition:		
payment or investment purposes. Vi other financial assets that are alread	tion of value that can be digitally traded, or tual assets do not include digital represe by covered elsewhere in the FATF Recombocuments/recommendations/pdfs/FATF%	ntations of fiat currencies, securities and mendations.
FATF-Definition:		
	erson who is not covered elsewhere unde ne following activities or operations for or	
another natural or legal person that iv. safekeeping and/or administration v. participation in and provision of fir		set address or account to another]; control over virtual assets; and r and/or sale of virtual asset.
F1. For what virtual assets does the	FI currently offer VASP services?	
F2. What VASP services does the F  □ Exchange (fiat-VA; VA-VA)  □ VA transactions (transfers)*  □ Safekeeping of VAs  □ Administration of VAs  □ Provision of financial services to  □ Others	an issuer or seller of virtual assets (e.g. ir	n connection with an ICO)
also taking place. They do not refer to any tra	ing payments of VAs to/from a business relationship insactions within the same business relationship. VAnt holds VAs at Bank Y and transfers these to their	A transactions may involve a transfer of ownership,
Explanation of further VASP service	s	
F3. Number of business relationship at the time of the audit?	s under which virtual assets are booked a	and/or which make use of VASP services
Number of clients with VAs:	Percentage of the total number of clients:	Of which business relationships with increased risks:
F4. Is the holding of virtual assets an	nd/or the use of VASP services taken into	consideration in the BRwiR criteria?



Reason:				
F5. Are transactions involving virtual assets taken into consideration in the criteria for transactions with increased risks?  O Yes O No				
Reason:				
F6. Besides the declaration of beneficial ownership, has the FI also established a check to prove the actual ownership of external wallets / storage solutions by technical means?  O Yes O No				
If "Yes", which method does the FI use?				
F7. How does the FI apply the travel rule set out in Article 1	0 AMLO-FINMA?			
F8. Does the FI also analyse preceding transactions (e.g. using forensic analysis tools) to detect assets from insecure sources or to recognise the use of mixers and tumblers, used to conceal the origin of the assets?  O Yes O No				
Reason if the question was answered with "No":				
F9. Do Compliance and/or the bodies involved in transaction monitoring with regard to virtual assets possess the necessary expertise and adequate systems / tools?  O Yes O No				
Reason if the question was answered with "No":				
F10. Has the FI implemented appropriate controls for the handling of VAs and/or the provision of VASP services with regard to adherence to the AMLA due diligence requirements as part of its ICS?  O Yes O No				
Reason if the question was answered with "No":				
Random samples by audit firm: Were the regulatory requirements for business relationships and transactions* with increased risks (Art. 13 and Art. 14 ff. AMLO-FINMA) and the requirements defined by the bank or the specific AMLA due diligence requirements in connection with VAs and/or the provision of VASP services adhered to?				
*Audit and assessment only if incoming and/or outgoing VA transactions (transfers) are offered by the FI.				
out of (Population):	Number of files with shortcomings:			
Number of clients with VAs.  Qualifications (Sample):				
O Yes O No				
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.				



Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Qualification:
Description of the qualification
Classification:
Recommendations (Sample):
O Yes O No Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Additional rows can be added to the table as required.
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Recommendation:
Description of the recommendation
Classification:
Comments:
F11. Assessment of the quality of the documented KYC information based on the random samples carried out (incl. information about whether the type and purpose of the business relationship requested by the contracting party was identified).
O Appropriate O Not appropriate
Reason:
F12. Assessment of the quality of the documented information in connection with transaction monitoring on the basis of the random samples carried out?
O Appropriate O Not appropriate O n/a
Reason:



Comments:	
Reason for selection of the audit item by the audit firm:	